To: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]

Bcc: []

From: CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Fri 5/4/2012 3:48:14 PM **Subject:** Re: 404 meeting availability

given Tom's message, we can't fault DWR too much for meeting with the Corps without us. But they certainly seem to want to take advantage of our absence. I wonder if Reclamation knows they are to be a signatory to the MOU? I'm glad you sent that note Erin.

KAREN SCHWINN
Associate Director
Water Division
U.S. EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street (Wtr-1)
San Francisco, CA 94105
415/972-3472
415/297-5509 (mobile)
415/947-3537 (fax)

From: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US

To: "Enos, Cassandra" < cenos@water.ca.gov>

Cc: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil, Karen

Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/04/2012 07:57 AM
Subject: 404 meeting availability

Hi Cassandra,

I understand that DWR is making progress on some CWA 404 milestones for BDCP CM1 (draft basic and overall project purpose). Have you begun to reschedule meetings again? We would very much appreciate being included in these discussions. The IMT time commitment has substantially declined which is helpful for schedules. It would be really helpful to understand where DWR is in the CWA 404 application process for CM1 -- like CWA JD, basic and overall project purpose, screening criteria, alternatives to evaluate in 404(b)(1), etc...

Thanks and I hope all is going well.

Erin

Erin Foresman

Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator, US EPA Region 9 C/O National Marine Fisheries Service 650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 930 3722

http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html

I work a part time schedule (M 7:30a - 4:00p, T - F 7:30 - 2:00p)

From: "Enos, Cassandra" <cenos@water.ca.gov>

To: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 03/12/2012 11:33 AM Subject: RE: 404 meeting availability

Tom and Erin - That sounds good to me. On that note, I was thinking we should schedule these meetings less frequently for awhile, perhaps once every 6-8 weeks. We are just getting our consultant 404 team in-place and up to speed. I think it would be most efficient if we had more time to develop materials to present to the larger group for comment. With the current approach of meeting every other week we didn't really have time to develop anything substantial in time for the next meeting. Also, everyone is so busy with conflicting meetings it would be easier to fit into everyone's schedule. What do you think?

Thanks, Cassandra

From: Tom Hagler [mailto:Hagler.Tom@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 9:30 AM

To: Enos, Cassandra Cc: Erin Foresman

Subject: Re: 404 meeting availability

I'm not ignoring you. Erin and I are having a hard time connecting on this 404 set of issues.

We're also trying to figure out exactly what we should be doing now, given that a lot of things seem to be up in the air.

For now, why don't you just assume that the scheduling issue is in our court, and we'll get back to you as soon as we talk amongst ourselves and, probably, with the Corps.

Tom Hagler Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street, RC-2 San Francisco, California 94105-3901 Phone: (415) 972-3945

Email: hagler.tom@epamail.epa.gov

From: "Enos, Cassandra" <cenos@water.ca.gov>

To: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 03/07/2012 11:52 AM Subject: 404 meeting availability

Erin and Tom – I just wanted to follow-up with you on possible days/times that would work for rescheduling our 404 meetings. I understand the IMT meetings essentially take up Monday, Tuesday and Friday mornings. That doesn't leave us a whole lot of options. Please let me know if either Wednesday or Thursday work, or if Monday afternoon works.

Thanks, Cassandra

Cassandra Enos-Nobriga Program Manager Division of Environmental Services 901 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 651-2987